Iowa State University

DEPARTMENT OF RESIDENCE AND ISU DINING ANNUAL REPORT FY15

Prepared September 2015

Submitted by:

Dr. Peter Englin
Director of Residence

and

Dr. Thomas Hill Interim Director of ISU Dining

Iowa State University

DEPARTMENT OF RESIDENCE AND ISU DINING ANNUAL REPORT FY15

Table of Contents	Page 1
Executive Summary	Pages 2-6
Enrollment and Occupancy	Page 7
Explanation For Variance In Design, Offered And Program Capacities	Page 8
Capacity and Occupancy	Page 9
Room and Board Rates	Page 10
Peer Group Comparison	Page 10
System Distribution of Rooms and Rates	Page 11
Room Style Demand	Page 12
Meal Plan Buy-In	Page 13
Financial Data	Page 14
Bond Principal and Interest Due	Page 15
Facilities Summary	Page 15
Appendix A – Explanation of Changes to Design Capacity	Page 16
Appendix B – Explanation of Off-Line Spaces	Page 16

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Department of Residence (DOR)

The DOR has grown occupancy by 60.1% (from 7,909 to 12,733) since 2005 by serving as the premier living environment for student success. Strategic and continuous improvements aimed at enhancing the academic success of our residents at Iowa State University sustain an outstanding student experience.

This growth has resulted in demand for university-owned/managed housing that exceeds permanent capacity (see page eight) by over 1800 beds, despite the addition of 780 newly constructed beds in Frederiksen Court being brought on line between fall 2013 – fall 2014. For fall 2013, permanent capacity was exceeded by 815 spaces. This shortfall increased to 1,282 spaces for fall 2014. As a result, for fall 2015 the need to use common area dens as housing continued for a fourth consecutive year. Two significant changes to Den use were put into place due to student leader input. First, the 103 Den spaces in Helser and Birch/Welch/Roberts were not used due to a lack of additional community space within these buildings for House/floor/Hall gatherings to take place. The remaining 329 Den spaces will be used for the full academic year to allow residents to stay connected with the community where they begin the academic year. Also for fall 2015, 495 additional spaces have been leased in the off-campus community and are being staffed, assigned, and contracted by the DOR as university-managed housing – a practice that began in fall 2013.

DOR's focus continues to be providing added value to the student experience for those who live on-campus. MapWorks, a freshmen focused, web-based, self-assessment program is in its eighth year of use and is implemented the third week of each fall semester. This is the optimal time for this survey, as it is the point at which students are realizing the differences between their expectations coming in and the realities of being a new freshman. During year one of administration, just under 70% of the freshman class participated in the MapWorks Transition Survey. During this past year (2014), the percentage was 82.4%.

A new aspect of MapWorks is the expansion for fall 2015 to include continuing sophomores/2nd year and transfer students as two additional cohorts where ISU will benefit from understanding how well they are experiencing their University experience. The questions asked for these two cohorts have distinct differences. Commitment to degree completion, major satisfaction, and clear career aspirations are additional elements MapWorks assesses for sophomore and transfer students.

The MapWorks results provide students and staff with a comprehensive snapshot of how each individual student is experiencing lowa State University shortly after starting classes. Currently, the DOR funds almost 100% of this \$125,000 initiative and partners with staff in the Dean of Students Office, Athletics, and faculty and staff in the Colleges of Human Sciences, Liberal Arts & Sciences, Engineering, Business, Agriculture & Life Sciences, and Design in sharing/using the student data derived from the MapWorks assessment. Students who have participated in MapWorks since 2008 have had substantially higher 1-year retention rates (88.0%) than students who have not (79.2%). These percentages include accounting for differences in entering characteristics such as high school rank, high school grade point average, and ACT composite score. MapWorks respondents also have higher first semester grade point averages (GPAs): 2.84 vs. 2.46.

The DOR assessment and research staff continuously conducts independent analyses that note additional positive outcomes from living oncampus. In fall 2014, students living on-campus recorded higher GPAs than their off-campus peers. On-campus freshmen achieved a grade point average of 2.81 as compared to 2.45 for their off-campus counterparts; sophomores achieved a 2.92 vs. 2.78; juniors a 3.01 vs. 2.87, and seniors a 3.20 vs. 3.11. It is especially telling for first-year, first-time freshmen, as students in their first semester at ISU who achieved a 2.00 or better have a 6-year graduation rate of 75% vs. 41.4% rates for freshmen who did not achieve a 2.00 GPA their first semester. Moreover, the 6-year graduation rate was higher for students living on-campus their first year (71.28%) than students living off-campus their first year (63.4%) accounting for differences in entering characteristics such as high school rank, high school grade point average, and ACT composite score.

Disaggregating National Survey for Student Engagement (NSSE) and National College Health Assessment data also provides good information regarding on-campus life. On-campus students were more likely to have:

- already participated in a learning community;
- worked on a research project with a faculty member outside of course or program requirements;
- planned to do a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project, or thesis);
- had serious conversations with students who are very different in terms of religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values;
- engaged in lower rates of high risk drinking in residence halls (31%) and on-campus apartment (38%) as opposed to the ISU
 average rates of 42% and off-campus apartment rate of 51%.
- attended an art exhibit, play, dance, music, theater, or other performance;
- felt the institution does a good job of providing the support to help them succeed academically;
- felt the institution emphasizes encouraging contact among students from different backgrounds;
- felt the institution encouraged attendance at campus events and activities;
- felt the institution provided the support they needed to thrive socially; and
- evaluated their educational experience more positively.

While the demand for on-campus housing has been robust, the Ames' community rental housing landscape is changing significantly. Up to six national, private student housing developers are either completing projects, securing approvals, or exploring the ability to provide off-

campus housing specifically designated to serve Iowa State University students. As of last year at this time the potential existed for an additional 1,800 beds to be available by fall 2015. Only 400 were realized but plans have been approved for another 936 beds that could be ready for fall 2016. Forecasting occupancy continues to be challenging as students evaluate choices and relative value.

Iowa State University (ISU) Dining

As University growth continues, meal plan participation has increased and ISU Dining has adapted to serve students and the ISU community in a variety of ways. Each day, more than 43,000 transactions occur throughout the 21 campus locations and the four dining centers serve an average of 8,750 meals.

Access/Utilization

ISU Dining has made several programmatic changes to address increased demand:

- Service times at multiple locations have been extended to accommodate students' class schedules
- An outdoor grill is utilized during lunch at the beginning of the academic year
- A sack-meal location has been added and is available as a quick-service option for students on the go
- There are communications in places with students about wait times and off-peak dining times
- Two residential dining facilities offer continuous service throughout the day and into the evening
- Weekend service has been extended at two large residential dining facilities.
- The Friley Windows Food Court is in process and projected to open in 2017. The food court will have three to four venues and a 300 seat capacity that will serve campus throughout the day, which will be especially helpful during lunch.

Additionally, ISU Purchasing has contracted with food trucks, strategically placed around campus, which also assists with demand issues.

The Residential unit of ISU Dining's continues to focus on improving meal plan value and perception in the dining centers. Student feedback has led to revising services and extending hours to provide more flexibility. One especially successful change has been the addition of an evening hours, retail-style, option to a residential dining center. The service-style change at night gives students the option to get their meal to go or hang out with friends .Because residential dining locations also serve as a place for students to build community, through special events, service changes, and customer feedback, ISU Dining is continuing to ensure students feel at home and satisfied with their dining experience.

The Retail unit of ISU Dining (cafes, convenience stores, food court and restaurants) gives students more ways to utilize their meal plans. Through snack options, meals on the go, and places to study or relax, the 17 retail locations remain popular among students and the ISU community as a whole. ISU Dining retail operations have seen a 10.4% growth from the prior year and are experiencing sales of over \$19 million.

The Culinary unit of ISU Dining comprises an Executive Chef and a team of Chef de Cuisines. The chefs have implemented quality standard requirements, developed new recipes and menus, conducted staff and continuous improvement training, and have revamped the oncampus bakery menu – resulting in improved menu quality and variety in all areas. In large part due to the changes implemented by the chef team, increased menu flexibility - through theme meals and pace changers – is now available. The chefs have also adjusted menus this academic year due to product shortages resulting from the recent avian influenza issues.

The chef team frequently collaborates with ISU Dining's dietitian to create healthier options in addition to pre-plating in various areas to help educate students about proper portions. The chefs have also partnered with several university departments including Culinary Science. The summer 2015 Special Olympics Gourmet Gala, an event which features all ISU Dining chefs, raised \$21,777.

The Catering unit of ISU Dining oversaw 4,647 catered events in FY15. ISU Dining Catering implemented the first annual ISU Catering Bridal Date Night, launched four NetCatering marketing campaigns, and revived the International Food Fair with the International Student Council. ISU Catering also implemented an online ordering system for Inter-Residence Hall Association (IRHA) staff and Community Advisers to access ISU Catering's delivery service to the hall desks or hall events with a menu driven by student requests. With the loss of catering for the Football Stadium suites, ISU Catering is collaborating with Cyclone Sports Properties to promote services to football Tent Row tailgaters.

Customer Satisfaction

During 2014-15, ISU Dining continued to review and update base business operations in response to student feedback. Dialogue with student leaders and the results of a benchmarking survey on customer satisfaction with the National Association of College and University Food Services (NACUFS) showed ISU Dining to be performing with high satisfaction in the following areas: helpful and friendly staff, hours of operations, speeds of service, and the overall service meeting the clients' needs. However, students continue to request more services, longer serving hours, and more flexibility to the meal plan. ISU Dining strives to provide a balanced approach that meets student needs in a fiscally responsible manner.

Wellness

Because wellness continues to be an important issue for students, ISU Dining has revised menus to offer more vegetarian, vegan, and gluten-free options in all dining centers. Students can identify these items and plan their meals using the online NetNutrition program as well as nutrition information cards available at the point of service.

A new venue was introduced in January 2015 that focuses on menu items with minimal processing and high use of vegetables, whole grains, and lean proteins. Serving this entire meal on one plate, in the proper portion size, educates students while also speeding up line service during peak times.

The ISU Dining dietitian continues to collaborate with the University Dietetic Internship Program, Thielen Student Health, Recreation Services, and the Honors program to improving wellness programs in their areas. The dietician is also:

- Integrating calories on menus, due to the FDA labeling requirements
- Working with other Student Affairs units on the division's initiative for student wellness
- Educating students with healthy cooking demonstrations, health fairs, educational programs
- Providing one-on-one nutritional counseling for students needed special dietary accommodations

Internal Efficiencies Gained

Strategic and continuous improvement in facilities and services has been an emphasis for ISU Dining. The last three years have seen a substantial shift in customer behavior with students choosing to obtain meals in retail areas rather than all-you-care-to-eat residential dining operations. Revenue has shifted accordingly and students have gained flexibility and portability options.

In 2015, the three Board of Regents' universities gathered with the prime vendor to explore options to further streamline purchasing. This meeting proved valuable and allowed several changes in products to be made for stronger purchasing leverage with branded companies.

Transition to a cross-dock system occurred during the first year of the prime vendor contract. This system ensured supplier deliveries were pre-packaged for their final on-campus destination and avoided repackaging items for dining facilities. Implementation occurred with no additional price increase from suppliers. Benefits included minimal forecasting and more accurate orders, reduced warehousing costs, minimized handling of product, and freed storage space. The additional space gained by the shift to cross-docking allowed for vending to move into the food warehouse. Combining the two entities allowed for improved oversight of processes and staff, consolidated operations and eliminated redundancies.

Enrollment and Occupancy

Demand for on-campus housing continues to outpace enrollment growth for the sixth year in a row. From fall 2014 to fall 2015, total University enrollment increased by 1,269 students (3.7%). The breakdown is as follows:

- 279 student increase (2.19%) in lower division enrollment, (40 freshmen, 239 sophomores)
- 862 student increase (5.5%) in upper division enrollment
- 128 student increase (2.2%) in graduate and professional student enrollment

On-campus occupancy saw an overall increase of 496 students (4.1%), for a total of 12,733 residents. The breakdown is as follows:

- 168 student increase (2.0%) in lower division occupancy (190 freshmen, -22 sophomores)
- 482 student increase (4.1%) in upper division occupancy
- 14 student increase (4.0%) in graduate and professional student occupancy

Housing Capacity

Despite repeated maximization of available permanent bed spaces and adjustment of assignment processes and deadlines, fall 2015 saw the demand for on-campus housing exceed the availability of permanent space for the fifth consecutive year.

Year	Permanent Capacity	Occupancy	+/-
2011	9,922	9,976	(54)
2012	10,179	10,426	(247)
2013	10,455	11,270	(815)
2014	10,955	12,237	(1,282)
2015	11,018	12,901	(1,883)

For fall 2015, the DOR made proactive changes to the Recontracting process in an attempt to minimize the numbers of returners in the residence system. Specifically, the Recontracting process came to a firm stop on March 1, 2015 at which point returners were no longer permitted to submit housing contracts for fall 2015. This should have yielded a reduction of 418 returner contracts when compared to those contracts realized March 1 – Count Day in 2014. Unfortunately, the exact opposite took place and the DOR experienced an increase of 460 contracts from returners.

Housing Contract Report Summary									
Category Fall 2014 Fall 2015 # (+/-) % (+									
Returner	5,162	5,622	460	8.9%					
New - FR	5,784	5,873	89	1.5%					
Other New 1	1,291	1,238	-53	-4.1%					
Variance	12,237	12,733	496	4.1%					

1. Other New includes Transfers, Families and Readmits

Upon realizing that the return rate to on-campus housing was going to be strong, the DOR requested and received permission in March of 2015 to enter into additional leases in the off-campus market, thus initially adding 395 more bed spaces. At this point, projections still indicated that the DOR would receive enough cancellations to accommodate all currently contract students as well as any additional new admit contracts received.

Unfortunately, the fall 2015 summer assignment process did not follow historically experienced patterns. During a three week period from June 29 – July 18, opening week assignment projections went from a 90 bed deficit to a 5 bed excess and back to a 79 bed deficit. While the July 18 projections showed the DOR as being 79 spaces short for fall, the gender disparity (due to a lack of male cancellations) was alarming; the DOR had 57 female contracts and 30 hall spaces for women, but had 132 male contracts and only 38 spaces.

In an effort to provide an assignment to all currently contracted students, the DOR made the following decisions:

- Cease taking any additional contracts as of July 20 and establish a waitlist for any additional housing inquiries,
- Convert eight Maple computer labs to double rooms, and
- Lease an additional 48 bed building off-campus.

Over the next 10 days, the DOR received 152 less cancellation than it had received during the same time frame the year prior. This prompted the DOR to seek permission to use the 103 den spaces in Helser and Birch/Welch/Roberts that the DOR had previously agreed not to occupy as student rooms. Permission was granted with the caveat that the students in these locations were to be relocated by the tenth class day and the space be returned to community use.

By August 11, four days before the official move in process began, all women were assigned to permanent spaces, all international students had been assigned, and all non-lowa residents had been assigned. However, 26 male, IA-resident, transfers remained unassigned and of the men that had received an assignment, 76 were assigned to the dens allotted for only two weeks – meaning the DOR was still 102 spaces short for fall 2015.

While it was hoped that enough cancellations would be realized between fall move-in and Count Day to accommodate the remaining 26 unassigned men and to relocate the 76 men in dens, there was no certainty that this would occur. As such, when presented with a last minute opportunity presented to occupy 140 Lynn Avenue, a 35 bed, former fraternity house, the DOR made the decision to lease this space as well.

Ultimately, through cancellations and the No Show process, the DOR was able to accommodate the 26 unassigned men and to relocate the 76 men in temporary dens by the end of the first week of classes. Additionally, all of the women on the wait list had been offered an oncampus assignment. Because on-campus space was still very tight, the DOR offered the spaces in 140 Lynn to the Theta Delta Chi fraternity who had contracted to live in on-campus while their own house was being remodeled. The relocation of these 33 men provided a release valve for staff to be able to manage roommate conflicts and other issues and should allow the DOR to again accept male contracts for the remained of fall 2015.

While fall 2015 has clearly been anomalous and could not have been predicted, it is clear that the demand for on-campus housing remains strong. Moving forward, the DOR will review all assignment priority processes, deadlines, and eligibility along with current predictive methods in an attempt to create a new housing model. In order to continue to provide newly admitted students with the style of assignment that most encourages success, this may mean setting limits for returning students in specific residential areas and establishing earlier contract cut off dates. Ideally, the DOR would establish a program that neither utilized den space – temporary or otherwise – as student housing, nor relied on the leasing of expensive and geographically undesirable off-campus property. While the opening of a new, 784 bed residence hall in spring 2017 (currently under construction) will certainly assist, it will not entirely solve a situation where demand exceeds capacity by 1,883 spaces as happened this fall.

Room Styles & Rates

The table on page 10 outlines the number of available rooms by style and rate.

Choice continues to be very important to today's students and their families. The DOR offers a number of different room styles and options. Room rates are based upon the type of room offered. Equitable room types with the same amenities are the same rate regardless of their location on-campus.

Peer Group Comparisons

In this era of increasing diversity in housing and dining availability on-campuses nationwide, it is difficult to draw direct conclusions based upon peer group data. Using the aggregate of data, however, ISU on-campus housing remains competitively priced when compared to the land-grant peer group. See tables on page ten.

Room Demand

The table on page 11 shows the breakdown of fall 2015 student requests for specific room types and locations. The demand figures are based only on the preferences listed as a B choice by new students and spaces selected during Recontracting by returning students.

Residence Hall Preferences

The top three residence hall preferences are:

- Union Drive (UD) Double Room AC (1,115 requests 708 spaces)
- Richardson Court (RC) Double Room Maple (1,017 requests, 480 spaces)
- Union Drive (UD) Suite Double Substance Free (872 requests, 328 spaces)

The bottom three residence hall preferences are:

- Union Drive (UD) Single Room no AC, Friley (41 requests, 33 spaces)
- Union Drive (UD) Double Room no AC, Sub. Free (48 requests, 43 spaces)
- Richardson Court (RC) Single Room no AC (57 requests, 42 spaces)

Air-conditioned Friley Hall (1,863) remains the most popular residence hall choice, followed by Maple (1,194), and Eaton (872). Demand in these buildings continues to exceed capacity.

Wallace-Wilson (277) is the least popular building/area followed by single gender housing in Oak-Elm and Birch-Welch-Roberts (332). Capacity in these areas exceeds initial demand.

Requests for co-ed housing exceed requests for single-gender housing at a rate of 22 to 1.

Requests for double rooms exceed requests for single rooms at a rate of 13 to 1.

Requests for air-conditioned housing exceed requests for non-air-conditioned housing at a rate of 4 to 1.

Where both exist, requests for non-substance free housing exceed requests for substance free housing at a rate of 1.6 to 1.

Student Apartment Preferences

Requests for Frederiksen Court increased 11.3% while Schilletter-University Village (SUV) requests decreased 0.5%. In the leased apartments, requests for Legacy decreased 7.7% while requests for the ISU West area (formerly Maricopa) increased 63.7%.

Guest Housing

While fall 2015 guest occupancy sits at 69.0%, a 14.3% decrease from fall 2014, Guest Housing requests continue to rise during the spring and summer. During these periods, demand typically exceeds supply and staff are often required to turn requests away.

Meal Plan Buy-In

ISU Dining has enjoyed record FY15 meal plan participation with 11,921 total meal plans purchased, a 6% increase. This includes:

- 5,614 voluntary meal plans an increase of 710 (14.3%), and
- 6,307 mandatory meal plans a decrease of 25 (-.4%).

The decrease in mandatory meal plans can be attributed to fluctuations in the numbers and assignments of students who cancel their housing contracts between move-in and Count Day each year. Also, two residents of required areas do not have meal plans; one student has a medical issue that prohibits the student from utilizing a full meal plan and therefore allowed to purchase a 100 meal block plan and the other is retaining her assignment while away from campus on a medical waiver.

Financial Data

Net operating revenue for FY15 is \$29,170,286, an increase of \$1,836,544 over FY14. This increase is attributable primarily to increased New Direct from High School enrollment for fall 2014, strong retention rates of continuing residents to on-campus housing, and an increase in voluntary meal plan participation.

Capital Expenditures/Replacement Values

DOR and ISU Dining have made the strategic decision to target the majority of their capital dollars into specific facilities based upon current knowledge of future facility utilization. In-year priorities impact implementation of this strategy.

ENROLLMENT and OCCUPANCY

- All data is as of Count Day (10th class day) for the fall semester of the fiscal year.
 Enrollment Data can be found on the ISU Web Site: http://www.iastate.edu/~registrar/stats/.

Enrollment Comparison									
Level	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Change (+ or -)						
Level	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	#	%					
Freshmen	6,993	7,033	40	0.6%					
Sophomores	6,169	6,408	239	3.9%					
Total lower division	13,162	13,441	279	2.1%					
Total upper division	15,731	16,593	862	5.5%					
Total undergraduate ¹	28,893	30,034	1,141	3.9%					
Total graduate ²	5,839	5,967	128	2.2%					
Total enrollment	34,732	36,001	1,269	3.7%					

Occupancy and Enrollment Comparison – Residence Halls								
Lovel	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Change (+ or -)					
Level	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	#	%				
Freshmen	5,278	5,263	-15	-0.3%				
Sophomores	1,461	1,451	-10	-0.7%				
Total lower division	6,739	6,714	-25	-0.4%				
Total upper division	703	710	7	1.0%				
Total undergraduate ¹	7,442	7,424	-18	-0.2%				
Total graduate ²	16	6	-10	-62.5%				
Total occupancy	7,458	7,430	-28	-0.4%				

Occupancy and Enrollment Comparison – Student Apartments									
Level	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Change	e (+ or -)					
Level	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	#	%					
Freshmen	490	695	205	41.8%					
Sophomores	1,377	1,365	-12	-0.9%					
Total lower division	1,867	2,060	193	10.3%					
Total upper division	2,576	2,883	307	11.9%					
Total undergraduate ¹	4,443	4,943	500	11.3%					
Total graduate ²	336	360	24	7.1%					
Total occupancy	4,779	5,303	524	11.0%					

Occupancy and Enrollment Comparison – Residence Halls and Student Apartments									
Lovel	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Change (+ or -)						
Level	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	#	%					
Freshmen	5,768	5,958	190	3.3%					
Sophomores	2,838	2,816	-22	-0.8%					
Total lower division	8,606	8,774	168	2.0%					
Total upper division	3,279	3,593	314	9.6%					
Total undergraduate ¹	11,885	12,367	482	4.1%					
Total graduate ²	352	366	14	4.0%					
Total occupancy	12,237	12,733	496	4.1%					

Percentage of Enrollment Housed – Residence Halls and Student Apartments									
Level	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	(+ or -)						
Freshmen	82.5%	84.7%	2.2%						
Sophomores	46.0%	43.9%	-2.1%						
Total lower division	65.4%	65.3%	-0.1%						
Total upper division	20.8%	21.7%	0.8%						
Total undergraduate ¹	41.1%	41.2%	0.0%						
Total graduate ²	6.0%	6.1%	0.1%						
Total	35.2%	35.4%	0.1%						

- Undergraduate specials (non-degree) included in upper division count. First Professionals and Post Docs included in graduate count.

EXPLANATION for VARIANCE in DESIGN, OFFERED and PROGRAM CAPACITY

• All data is as of Count Day (10th class day) for the fall semester of the fiscal year.

FALL 2015 VARIANCE EXPLANATIONS FOR DESIGN, OFFERED AND PROGRAM CAPACITY									
Residence Halls	Design ¹	Offered	Off-Line ²	Program	Variance	Explanation Variance			
Barton	100	100	0	99	-1	1 CA DAS ³			
Birch-Welch-Roberts	489	489	0	478	-11	11 CA DAS			
Freeman	117	117	0	117	0				
Larch	544	544	0	536	-8	8 CA DAS			
Linden	320	320	0	313	-7	7 CA DAS			
Lyon	119	119	0	119	0				
Maple	496	496	0	488	-8	8 CA DAS			
Oak-Elm	443	443	0	440	-3	3 CA DAS			
Willow	544	544	0	536	-8	8 CA DAS			
Total Richardson Court	3,172	3,172	0	3,126	-46				
Eaton	328	325	-3	322	-3	3 CA DAS			
Friley	1,212	1,210	-2	1,191	-19	19 CA DAS			
Helser	717	717	0	704	-13	13 CA DAS			
Martin	332	331	-1	331	0				
Total Union Drive	2,589	2,583	-6	2,548	-35				
Buchanan	409	409	0	409	0				
Wallace ⁴	546	546	0	546	0				
Wilson	546	544	-2	544	0				
Total Towers	1,501	1,499	-2	1,499	0				
Total Residence Halls	7,262	7,254	-8	7,173	-81				

Student Apartments	Design 1	Offered	Off-Line ²	Program	Variance	Explanation Variance
Frederiksen Court	2,712	2,683	-29	2,683	0	
Schilletter Village – Single	0	0	0	54	54	56 SV spaces (28 units) rented as non-family, 2 private
University Village – Single	992	987	-5	949	-38	8 UV spaces (4 units) rented as family, 30 private
Total Single Student	3,704	3,670	-34	3,686	16	
Schilletter Village - Family 4	184	183	-1	155	-28	28 SV units (56 spaces) rented as non-family
University Village - Family 4	0	0	0	4	4	4 UV units (8 spaces) rented as family
Total Family	184	183	-1	159	-24	
Total Student Apartments	3,888	3,853	-35	3,845	-8	

Total System	Design 1	Offered	Off-Line ²	Program	Variance	Explanation of Variance
Total Student Bed Spaces	11,150	11,107	-43	11,018	-89	(see above)
Total Interim Spaces 5	451	348	-103	348	0	
Total Leased Spaces ⁶	1,545	1,535	-10	1,535	0	
Total Guest Apartments 5	72	72	0	72	0	
Total DOR System	13,218	13,062	-156	12,973	-89	

- See Appendix A for an explanation of changes to Design Capacity See Appendix B for an explanation of Off-line Space
- DAS indicates that a double room is being used as a single, either as a CA space or for a student with a medical need
- All SUV Family and Guest are designed with 2 bed spaces, but are offered as single units (1 bed space).
- Interim housing spaces (converted den spaces) are only brought on-line as needed.
- Space leased in off-campus community to meet increased demand for on-campus housing.

CAPACITY and OCCUPANCY

- All data is as of Count Day (10th class day) for the fall semester of the fiscal year.
- Capacity indicated is PROGRAM capacity. Program capacity will vary from year to year based upon on-line status and bed space conversion due to doubles-as-singles and/or triples-as-doubles.

	HALL AND APARTMENT OCCUPANCIES AS COMPARED TO PROGRAM CAPACITY										
Residence Halls	Fall 2014				Fall 2015			Variance			
Residence nails	Cap.	Occ.	%	Cap.	Occ.	%	Cap. #	Cap. %	Occ. #	Occ. %	%
Barton	99	98	99.0%	99	99	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	1.0%
Birch-Welch-Roberts	478	472	98.7%	478	474	99.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0.4%
Freeman	117	115	98.3%	117	117	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	1.7%
Larch	536	530	98.9%	536	504	94.0%	0	0.0%	-26	-4.9%	-4.9%
Linden	313	309	98.7%	313	311	99.4%	0	0.0%	2	0.6%	0.6%
Lyon	119	114	95.8%	119	119	100.0%	0	0.0%	5	4.4%	4.2%
Maple	488	484	99.2%	488	486	99.6%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	0.4%
Oak-Elm	439	435	99.1%	440	432	98.2%	1	0.2%	-3	-0.7%	-0.9%
Willow	536	524	97.8%	536	536	100.0%	0	0.0%	12	2.3%	2.2%
Total Richardson Court	3,125	3,081	98.6%	3,126	3,078	98.5%	1	0.0%	-3	-0.1%	-0.1%
Eaton	323	319	98.8%	322	321	99.7%	-1	-0.3%	2	0.6%	0.9%
Friley	1,191	1,178	98.9%	1,191	1,186	99.6%	0	0.0%	8	0.7%	0.7%
Helser	700	696	99.4%	704	702	99.7%	4	0.6%	6	0.9%	0.3%
Martin	331	326	98.5%	331	329	99.4%	0	0.0%	3	0.9%	0.9%
Total Union Drive	2,545	2,519	99.0%	2,548	2,538	99.6%	3	0.1%	19	0.8%	0.6%
Buchanan	409	403	98.5%	409	405	99.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	0.5%
Wallace	546	526	96.3%	546	540	98.9%	0	0.0%	14	2.7%	2.6%
Wilson	544	528	97.1%	544	531	97.6%	0	0.0%	3	0.6%	0.6%
Total Towers	1,499	1,457	97.2%	1,499	1,476	98.5%	0	0.0%	19	1.3%	1.3%
Total Residence Halls	7,169	7,057	98.4%	7,173	7,092	98.9%	4	0.1%	35	0.5%	0.4%

Amoutmonto		Fall 2014		Fall 2015					Variance		
Apartments	Cap.	Occ.	%	Cap.	Occ.	%	Cap. #	Cap. %	Occ. #	Occ. %	%
Frederiksen Court	2,682	2,644	98.6%	2,683	2,667	99.4%	1	0.0%	23	0.9%	0.8%
Schilletter Village	52	52	100.0%	54	51	94.4%	2	3.8%	-1	-1.9%	-5.6%
University Village	890	884	99.3%	949	925	97.5%	59	6.6%	41	4.6%	-1.9%
Total Single Student	3,624	3,580	98.8%	3,686	3,643	98.8%	62	1.7%	63	1.8%	0.0%
Schilletter Village	153	150	98.0%	155	151	97.4%	2	1.3%	1	0.7%	-0.6%
University Village	9	9	100.0%	4	4	100.0%	-5	-55.6%	-5	-55.6%	0.0%
Total Family	162	159	98.1%	159	155	97.5%	-3	-1.9%	-4	-2.5%	-0.7%
Total Apartments	3,786	3,739	98.8%	3,845	3,798	98.8%	59	1.6%	59	1.6%	0.0%

DOD Custom		Fall 2014 Fall 2015 Variance									
DOR System	Cap.	Occ.	%	Cap.	Occ.	%	Cap. #	Cap. %	Occ.#	Occ. %	Cap.
Total Permanent Capacity	10,955	10,796	98.5%	11,018	10,890	98.8%	63	0.6%	94	0.9%	0.3%
Total Interim Spaces	436	401	92.0%	348	338	97.1%	-88	-20.2%	-63	-15.7%	5.2%
Total Leased Space	1,059	1,040	98.2%	1,535	1,505	98.0%	476	44.9%	465	44.7%	-0.2%
Total Student Spaces	12,450	12,237	98.3%	12,901	12,733	98.7%	451	3.6%	496	4.1%	0.4%
Total Guest Apartments	71	49	69.0%	72	42	58.3%	-4	-5.3%	-7	-14.3%	-6.1%
Total DOR System	12,521	12,286	98.1%	12,973	12,775	98.5%	447	3.6%	489	4.0%	0.4%

D		Fall 2	2014			Fall 2	2015		Varia	ance
Permanent Capacity vs. Total Occupancy	Cap.	Occ.	% Occ.	Cap. +/-	Cap.	Occ.	% Occ.	Cap. +/-	% Occ.	Cap. +/-
Total Occupancy	10,955	12,237	111.7%	(1,282)	11,018	12,733	115.6%	(1,715)	3.9%	(433)

ROOM and BOARD RATES

- Rates indicated are for the entire academic year both fall and spring semester.
- All data is as of Count Day (10th class day) for the fall semester of the fiscal year.

10 Year Con	nparison Combined Rate for I	Double Room	and Full Board
Year	Standard Double w/ A/C	Full Board	Combined Cost
2015-2016	\$4,334	\$3,791	\$8,125
2014-2015	\$4,208	\$3,676	\$7,884
2013-2014	\$4,146	\$3,628	\$7,774
2012-2013	\$4,146	\$3,628	\$7,774
2011-2012 12	\$4,053	\$3,628	\$7,681
2010-2011	\$3,929	\$3,591	\$7,520
2009-2010	\$3,796	\$3,527	\$7,323
2008-2009	\$3,703	\$3,297	\$7,000
2007-2008 ³	\$3,561	\$3,154	\$6,715
2006-2007	\$3,360	\$3,018	\$6,378

- 1. In 2011-2012, DOR equalized rates between areas. There is no longer a cost difference between standard double rooms with AC in Union Drive and Richardson Court.
- 2. In 2011-2012, with the formation of new meal plans, ISU Dining will begin reporting the "Gold Plan" rate. Previously, the rate used was "Weekly 17 plus DD\$."
- 3. In 2007-2008, to match the rates reported as Cost of Attendance by Financial Aid, DOR began reporting the "Union Drive A/C" rate. Previously, the rate used was for "Richardson Court No-A/C."

PEER GROUP COMPARISONS

- Rates indicated are for the entire academic year both fall and spring semester.
- All ISU data is as of Count Day (10th class day) for the fall semester of the fiscal year. Data for other schools has been obtained from that university's web site.
- Room Types and Meal Plans offered vary greatly by institution and may fluctuate from year to year. Room and meal plans that most closely approximate a Standard, Union Drive, Double with A/C and the default Gold Meal Plan have been selected.
- In cases where ranges are present, rates are based upon buildings, locations and amenities.

Comparable Peer University Rate Compari	son – Combined Rat	te for Double Room	and Full Board				
School	2014-2015	2015-2016	Room	Board	Plan Name	Meals / Week	\$/Points / Semester
University of California, Davis ¹	\$13,766	\$13,959	\$13,959	incl. in room	Frequent Diner Plan	14 (avg.)	\$200 Aggie Cash
Ohio State University	\$10,890	\$10,646	\$6,130	\$ 4,516	Scarlet 14	14	\$300 Dining Dollars
University of Illinois, Urbana ²	\$10,518	\$15,116	\$10,676	\$ 4,440	12 Classic Plan	12	15 Credits
Michigan State University	\$9,754	\$10,074	\$10,074	incl. in room	Platinum	Unlimited	\$300 Spartan Cash
Texas A & M University	\$9,686	\$8,024	\$4,140	\$ 3,884	Block 200	10-12	\$300 Dining Dollars
University of Minnesota, Twin Cities	\$8,820	\$9,014	\$5,044	\$ 3,970	14 Meals	14	250 Flex Dine
North Carolina State University	\$8,460	\$8,750	\$5,910	\$ 2,840	12 Per Week	12	\$300 Dining Dollars
Purdue University	\$8,184	\$12,738	\$8,184	\$ 4,554	13 meal track	13	\$225 Dining \$
Iowa State University	\$7,884	\$8,070	\$4,279	\$ 3,791	Gold Plan	14 (avg.)	\$200 Dining \$
University of Arizona	\$7,870	\$8,750	\$5,750	\$ 3,000	Wildcat Silver	2/day	\$3,000
University of Wisconsin, Madison	\$7,266	\$7,568	\$7,568	n/a	Not required. Manda	atory dining opera	tion fee included in room rate.
Average	\$8,077	\$9,875	\$6,776	\$ 3,444			

Comparable Peer Universit	y Rate Comparison – Sta	andard Suites
School	2014-2015	2015-2016
University of Illinois, Urbana ²	\$11,382	\$11,552
Purdue University	\$9,482-\$9,732	\$11,038-\$11,288
University of Wisconsin, Madison	\$9,359-\$9,696	\$8,417-\$8,518
University of Arizona	\$7,870	\$7,980
Texas A & M University	\$7,210	\$7,426
Ohio State University	\$6,130-\$7,876	\$7,876
Iowa State University	\$5,337-\$5,760	\$5,538
University of Minnesota, Twin Cities	\$5,258-\$5,702	\$5390-\$5844
Michigan State University	n/a	n/a
North Carolina State University	n/a	n/a
University of California, Davis ¹	n/a	n/a

Comparable Peer University	Rate Comparison – Furr	nished Apartments
School	2014-2015	2015-2016
University of California, Davis ¹	\$9,116-\$14,896	\$9,368-\$12,648
North Carolina State University	\$8,160	\$6,472
University of Wisconsin, Madison	\$7,641	\$7,866
University of Illinois, Urbana ²	\$7,020	\$7,110
Ohio State University	\$6,560-\$7,876	\$6,560-\$7,876
University of Minnesota, Twin Cities	\$6,282-\$8,103	\$6,589-\$8,303
Michigan State University	\$6,048-\$7,704	\$6,170-\$7,776
University of Arizona ³	\$6,165	\$6,255
Iowa State University	\$5,336	\$5,496
Texas A & M University	\$5,193-\$5,841	\$5,373-\$6,264
Purdue University	\$4,846-\$6,734	\$6,734

- 1. Housing is not university owned.
- 2. Learning Community housing is an additional fee
- 3. Apartments available for graduate students only.

SYSTEM DISTRIBUTION of ROOMS and RATES

• The number of bed spaces listed is indicative of what was OFFERED to students as available and on-line. Actual beds rented may vary due to off-line status or offering of space as double-as-single or triple-as-double (see page eight).

	nd Rates, System Distribution						
Residence	e Halls - Traditional Style		Fall 2014			Fall 2015	
Area	Room Type	Beds	% System	Rate	Beds	% System	Rate
RC	Double - Maple	448	3.54%	\$4,753	448	3.43%	\$4,896
RC & UD	Single without A/C	172	1.36%	\$5,401	173	1.32%	\$5,563
UD	Single with A/C	73	0.58%	\$5,507	73	0.56%	\$5,672
RC & UD	Double without A/C	2,202	17.39%	\$4,154	2,202	16.86%	\$4,279
RC & UD	Double with A/C	1,966	15.52%	\$4,208	1,966	15.05%	\$4,334
	Triple without A/C	1	+			+	
RC & UD	Triple with A/C	105 69	0.83%	\$3,946 \$3,982	108	0.83%	\$4,064
			0.54%		69	0.53%	\$4,101
RC & UD	Quad with A/C	0	0.00%	\$3,766	0	0.00%	\$3,879
RC & UD	Quad without A/C	12	0.09%	\$3,739	12	0.09%	\$3,851
	nardson Court and Union Drive	5,047	39.85%	-	5,051	38.67%	-
WW	Single	60	0.47%	\$5,039	60	0.46%	\$5,190
WW	Double	1,030	8.13%	\$3,974	1,030	7.89%	\$4,093
WW	Quad	0	0.00%	\$3,553		0.00%	\$3,660
Total Wal	llace and Wilson	1,090	8.61%	ı	1,090	8.34%	-
Total Trac	ditional Style	6,137	48.46%		6,141	47.01%	
Residence	e Hall Suite Style Rooms		Fall 2014			Fall 2015	
Area	Room Type	Beds	% System	Rate	Beds	% System	Rate
RC	Triple – Maple	48	0.38%		48	0.37%	
		ļ		\$4,972			\$5,121
	nardson Court	48	0.38%	-	48	0.37%	-
BH	Single – Buchanan	157	1.24%	\$6,184	157	1.20%	\$6,370
ВН	Double – Buchanan	252	1.99%	\$5,377	252	1.93%	\$5,538
Total Buc		409	3.23%	-	409	3.13%	-
UD	Single – Eaton & Martin	17	0.13%	\$6,624	17	0.13%	\$6,823
UD	Double – Eaton & Martin	538	4.25%	\$5,760	537	4.11%	\$5,933
UD	Corner Suite - Martin	28	0.22%	\$6,706	28	0.21%	\$6,907
UD	Lofted Suite - Martin	74	0.58%	\$7,653	74	0.57%	\$7,883
Total Unio	on Drive	657	5.19%	-	656	5.02%	-
Total Suit	e Style	1,114	8.80%	-	1,113	8.52%	-
Total Paci	idence Hall Rooms	7 251	57.26%	-	7,254	55.54%	
Total Nesi	idelice Hall Koollis	7,251	37.20%	-	7,234	55.34%	-
Student A	Apartments		Fall 2014			Fall 2015	
Area	Frederiksen Court	Beds	% System	Rate	Beds	% System	Rate
FC	2 Bedroom Shared	624	4.93%	\$4,252	628	4.81%	\$4,380
FC	2 Bedroom Private	22	0.17%	\$6,520	19	0.15%	\$6,716
FC	4 Bedroom Private	2,036	16.08%	\$5,336	2,036	15.59%	\$5,496
Total Fred	deriksen Court	2,682	21.18%	-	2,683	20.54%	-
SV	2 Bedroom, 1 Level	156	1.23%	\$5,384	159	1.22%	\$5,492
SV	2 Bedroom, 1 Level - Pet	24	0.19%	\$5,630	24	0.18%	\$5,743
UV	1 Bedroom, 1 Level	8	0.06%	\$4,650	8	0.06%	\$4,743
UV		48	0.38%	\$4,994	47	0.36%	\$5,094
	2 Bedroom, 1 Level	1					
UV	2 Bedroom Townhouse	545	4.30%	\$5,313	554	4.24%	\$5,419
UV	2 Bedroom Townhouse - Pet	324	2.56%	\$5,630	322	2.47%	\$5,743
UV	2 Bedroom Townhouse - Furnished	60	0.47%	\$5,630	56	0.43%	\$5,743
	illetter & University Village	1,165	9.20%	-	1,170	8.96%	-
	, ,				2 0 5 2	30 F00/	
	dent Apartments	3,847	30.38%	-	3,853	29.50%	
Total Stud	dent Apartments	3,847		-	3,855		
Total Stud	, ,		Fall 2014			Fall 2015	Rate
Total Stud	dent Apartments em Summary	Beds	Fall 2014 % System	Rate	Beds	Fall 2015 % System	Rate
DOR Syste	em Summary manent Spaces	Beds 11,098	Fall 2014 % System 87.63%		Beds 11,107	Fall 2015 % System 85.03%	Rate -
DOR Syste Total Perr Total Inte	em Summary manent Spaces rim Spaces ¹	Beds 11,098 436	Fall 2014 % System 87.63% 3.44%	Rate - -	Beds 11,107 348	Fall 2015 % System 85.03% 2.66%	-
DOR System Total Perrotal Interpotal Leas	em Summary manent Spaces rim Spaces sed Spaces 2	Beds 11,098 436 1,059	Fall 2014 % System 87.63% 3.44% 8.36%	Rate	Beds 11,107 348 1,535	Fall 2015 % System 85.03% 2.66% 11.75%	Rate - - -
DOR Syste Total Perr Total Inte Total Leas Total Stud	em Summary manent Spaces rim Spaces sed Spaces dent Bed Spaces	Beds 11,098 436 1,059 12,593	Fall 2014 % System 87.63% 3.44% 8.36% 99.44%	Rate	Beds 11,107 348 1,535 12,990	Fall 2015 % System 85.03% 2.66% 11.75% 99.45%	- - -
DOR Syste Total Perr Total Inte Total Leas Total Stuc SUV	em Summary manent Spaces rim Spaces sed Spaces dent Bed Spaces Furnished Guest Apartments	Beds 11,098 436 1,059 12,593 71	Fall 2014 % System 87.63% 3.44% 8.36% 99.44% 0.56%	Rate - - - - - \$1,209	Beds 11,107 348 1,535 12,990	Fall 2015 % System 85.03% 2.66% 11.75% 99.45% 0.55%	- - - - \$1,395
DOR Syste Total Perr Total Inte Total Leas Total Stud	em Summary manent Spaces rim Spaces sed Spaces dent Bed Spaces	Beds 11,098 436 1,059 12,593 71	Fall 2014 % System 87.63% 3.44% 8.36% 99.44%	Rate	Beds 11,107 348 1,535 12,990	Fall 2015 % System 85.03% 2.66% 11.75% 99.45%	-
DOR Syste Total Perr Total Inte Total Leas Total Stud SUV SUV	em Summary manent Spaces rim Spaces sed Spaces dent Bed Spaces Furnished Guest Apartments	Beds 11,098 436 1,059 12,593 71	Fall 2014 % System 87.63% 3.44% 8.36% 99.44% 0.56%	Rate - - - - - \$1,209	Beds 11,107 348 1,535 12,990	Fall 2015 % System 85.03% 2.66% 11.75% 99.45% 0.55%	- - - - \$1,395

^{1.} Interim housing rates are equal to the double, triple, or quad room rate for the building in which they are located.

^{2.} These spaces consist of off-campus property that the DOR leased and began operating as on-campus housing for fall 2013.

ROOM STYLE DEMAND

- Demand figures include preferences listed as a FIRST choice by new students and selected during Recontracting by returning students.
- The number of bed spaces listed is indicative of what was OFFERED to students as available and on-line.

Reside	nce Halls - Traditional Style		Fall 2014			Fall 2015		Demand
Area	Room Type	Capacity	Demand	+/-	Capacity 1	Demand	+/-	Variance
RC	Double Room - AC	1,072	651	-421	1,088	840	-248	189
RC	Double Room - Maple	440	1,064	624	480	1,017	537	-47
RC	Double Room - No AC	504	388	-116	584	387	-197	-1
RC	Double Room - No AC, Single Gender	824	253	-571	776	262	-514	9
RC	Single Room - No AC	43	51	8	42	57	15	6
RC	Single Room - No AC, Single Gender	93	67	-26	97	70	-27	3
RC	Any Richardson Court	-	12	-	-	10	-	-2
Total R	ichardson Court	2,976	2,486	-490	3,067	2,643	-424	157
UD	Double Room - AC	695	1,199	504	708	1,115	407	-84
UD	Double Room - AC, Sub. Free	166	741	575	170	600	430	-141
UD	Double Room - No AC - Friley	808	305	-503	826	422	-404	117
UD	Double Room - No AC, Sub. Free	31	32	1	43	48	5	16
UD	Single Room - AC	73	167	94	62	148	86	-19
UD	Single Room - No AC - Friley	33	39	6	33	41	8	2
UD	Any Union Drive	-	9	-	-	12	-	3
Total U	nion Drive	1,806	2,492	686	1,842	2,386	544	-106
TW	Double Room - No AC	1,030	145	-885	1,030	204	-826	59
TW	Single - Wallace & Wilson	60	68	8	60	73	13	5
Total T	owers	1,090	213	-877	1,090	277	-813	64
Total T	raditional Style	5,872	5,191	-681	5,999	5,306	-693	115

Reside	nce Halls - Suite Style		Fall 2014			Fall 2015		Demand
Area	Room Type	Capacity	Demand	+/-	Capacity	Demand	+/-	Variance
RC	Triple – Maple	48	201	153	48	177	129	-24
Total R	ichardson Court	48	201	153	48	177	129	-24
ВН	Suite Double	252	298	46	252	381	129	83
ВН	Suite Single	157	179	22	157	198	41	19
Total B	uchanan	409	477	68	409	579	170	102
UD	Suite Double	229	321	92	229	328	99	7
UD	Suite Double - Sub. Free	323	844	521	325	872	547	28
UD	Suite Double - Corner	28	155	127	28	140	112	-15
UD	Suite Double - Lofted	74	217	143	74	198	124	-19
Total U	nion Drive	654	1,537	883	656	1,538	882	1
Total S	uite Style	1,111	2,215	1,104	1,113	2,294	1,181	79

IULAIN	esiderice nail Freierences	7,109	7,400	23/	7,002	7,000	-2	134
Ctudon	t Apartments		Fall 2014			Fall 2015		Damand
Studen			Fall 2014		Fall 2015		Demand	
Area	Frederiksen Court	Capacity	Demand	+/-	Capacity	Demand	+/-	Variance
FC	2 Bedroom Shared	624	515	-109	628	573	-55	58
FC	2 Bedroom Private	22	101	79	19	100	81	-1
FC	4 Bedroom Shared	2,036	1,859	-177	2,036	1,892	-144	33
Total F	rederiksen Court	2,682	2,475	-207	2,683	2,565	-118	90
SUV	Schilletter Village	205	173	-32	183	188	5	15
SUV	University Village	899	826	-73	987	806	-181	-20
Total S	chilletter & University Village	1,104	999	-105	1,170	994	-176	-5
LEASE	Legacy ²	297	350	53	298	323	25	-27
LEASE	ISU West and 140 Lynn ²	762	405	-357	1,237	663	-574	258
Total L	eased	1,059	755	-304	1,535	986	-549	231
Total S	tudent Apartment Preferences	4,845	4,229	-616	5,388	4,545	-843	316

7 169 7 406 237 7 602 7 600

-	No Preference	-	602	-	-	588	-	-14
Total	Student Preferences	12,014	13,274		12,990	12,733		
۸ ماما:	ional Preferences 1		Fall 2014			Fall 2015		Demand
Addi	ional Preferences	Capacity	Demand	± /	Capacity	Demand	+/-	Variance
		Capacity	Demanu	т/-	Capacity	Demanu	+/-	variance
-	Learning Community ³	1,284	1,037	-247	1364	1,345	-41	308

- 1. Includes residence hall dens being used as permanent rooms for 2015-16.
- 2. These spaces consist of off-campus space that the DOR has leased and is operating as on campus housing.
- 3. These spaces are also included in above counts
- 4. Triple and Quad room Capacity is included in count of Total Residence Hall Capacity

MEAL PLAN BUY-IN

• All data is as of Count Day (10th class day) for the fall semester of the fiscal year.

Mandaton, Maal Dlans	Fall 2014		Fall 2015		Variance	
Mandatory Meal Plans	#	%	#	%	#	%
Cyclone Plan	98	1.5%	116	1.8%	18	18.4%
Cardinal Plan	140	2.2%	136	2.2%	-4	-2.9%
Gold Plan ¹	3,652	57.7%	3,971	63.0%	319	8.7%
Silver Plan	1,197	18.9%	957	15.2%	-240	-20.1%
Bronze Plan	1,245	19.7%	1,127	17.9%	-118	-9.5%
Total Mandatory Plans	6,332		6,307		-25	-0.4%

Voluntary Mool Blanc	Fall 2014		Fall 2015		Variance	
Voluntary Meal Plans	#	%	#	%	#	%
Cyclone Plan	87	1.8%	71	1.3%	-16	-18.4%
Cardinal Plan	46	0.9%	57	1.0%	11	23.9%
Gold Plan ¹	758	15.4%	923	16.4%	165	21.8%
Silver Plan	504	10.3%	477	8.5%	-27	-5.4%
Bronze Plan	1,021	20.8%	1,087	19.4%	66	6.5%
25 Meal Block Plan	688	14.0%	835	14.9%	147	21.4%
50 Meal Block Plan	717	14.6%	836	14.9%	119	16.6%
75 Meal Block Plan	407	8.3%	468	8.3%	61	15.0%
100 Meal Block Plan	685	13.9%	860	15.3%	175	25.5%
Total Voluntary Plans	4,913		5,614		701	14.3%

All Plans	Fall 2014		Fall 2015		Variance	
All Plans	#	%	#	%	#	%
Cyclone Plan	185	1.6%	187	1.6%	2	1.1%
Cardinal Plan	186	1.7%	193	1.6%	7	3.8%
Gold Plan ¹	4,410	39.2%	4,894	41.1%	484	11.0%
Silver Plan	1,701	15.1%	1,434	12.0%	-267	-15.7%
Bronze Plan	2,266	20.2%	2,214	18.6%	-52	-2.3%
25 Meal Block Plan	688	6.1%	835	7.0%	147	21.4%
50 Meal Block Plan	717	6.4%	836	7.0%	119	16.6%
75 Meal Block Plan	407	3.6%	468	3.9%	61	15.0%
100 Meal Block Plan	685	6.1%	860	7.2%	175	25.5%
Total All Plans	11,245		11,921		676	6.0%

^{1.} The Gold Plan includes the CA Plan, which for tax reasons does not include Dining Dollar\$.

FINANCIAL DATA

- Data is as of June 30 of the fiscal year.
- Data is unaudited.

Operations	FY 2014	FY 2015
Revenues	\$ 95,540,398	\$ 102,922,106
Expenditures for operations	\$ 68,206,656	\$ 73,751,820
Net operating revenue	\$ 27,333,742	\$ 29,170,286
% of revenues	28.61%	28.34%
Debt service (due July 1)	\$ 12,429,599	\$ 12,549,502 ¹
% of revenues	13.01%	12.19%
Net operating ratio (%)	210.010/	222.440/
(Net operating revenue to debt services)	219.91%	232.44%
Net revenue after debt service	\$ 14,904,142	\$ 16,620,784
% of revenues	15.60%	16.15%
Mandatory transfer to reserves	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
% of revenues	0.52%	0.49%
Net after debt service and mandatory transfers	\$ 14,404,142	\$ 16,120,784
Administrative Services Fee payment from surplus	\$ 2,117,087	\$ 2,302,504
% of expenditures	3.10%	3.12%

Cash and Investment Balances		
Voluntary funds	FY 2014	FY 2015
Revenue fund	-\$ 5,357	-\$ 5,357
Operations and maintenance fund	\$0	\$ 52,747
Improvement fund	\$ 19,711,214	\$ 21,635,331
Surplus fund	\$ 17,189,743	\$ 18,879,770
Subtotal—voluntary fund balances	\$ 36,895,600	\$ 40,562,491
% of gross revenue	38.62%	39.41%

Mandatory funds	FY 2014	FY 2015
Sinking fund	\$0	\$ 47,297
Bond reserve fund	\$ 12,755,790	\$ 12,742,778
Construction fund	\$ 654,406	\$ 26,493,851
Subtotal—mandatory fund balances	\$ 13,410,196	\$ 39,283,926

|--|

^{1.} Actual debt service payment was \$12,573,218 (see page 14 for Bond Principal and Interest Due Table). Adjustments totaling \$23,716 posted during FY15 included the close out of dorm issuance expenses.

BOND PRINCIPAL and INTEREST DUE

• Data is as of June 30 of the fiscal year with payments due July 1, 2015.

Series	Interest	Principal	Principal Due Future Years
Ref 2006	\$ 514,194	\$ 705,000	\$ 11,555,000
Ref 2006A	\$ 283,344	\$ 405,000	\$ 6,175,000
2007	\$ 448,675	\$ 500,000	\$ 10,050,000
Ref 2008	\$ 200,125	\$ 985,000	\$ 4,270,000
Ref 2009	\$ 304,223	\$ 615,000	\$ 7,550,000
Ref 2010	\$ 337,253	\$ 725,000	\$ 9,625,000
Ref 2011	\$ 521,300	\$ 880,000	\$ 14,135,000
Ref 2011A	\$ 360,675	\$ 640,000	\$ 10,135,000
Ref 2013	\$ 328,298	\$ 920,000	\$ 14,920,000
2013A	\$ 594,093	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 22,980,000
Ref 2013B	\$ 202,650	\$ 415,000	\$ 4,545,000
2013C	\$ 258,390	\$ 350,000	\$ 8,060,000
2015 1	\$0	\$0	\$ 30,000,000
Total	\$ 4,353,218	\$ 8,220,000	\$ 154,000,000

FACILITIES SUMMARY

	FY 2014	FY 2015	Change
Total Gross Square Feet	3,467,818	3,467,818	-
Total Insured Value	\$ 490,624,705	\$ 490,624,705	ı

Building and Capital Improvement Expenditures	FY 2014	FY 2015	Change
Total Building Improvements ²	\$ 6,570,572	\$ 4,245,246 ²	\$ -2,325,326
Capital Improvements			
Improvement Funds (205-09-05, 205-09-45) ¹	\$ 6,433,791	\$ 7,038,594 ²	\$ 604,803
Revenue Bonds (205-02-00) ³	\$ 18,740,655	\$ 174,660	\$ -18,565,995
Total Capital Improvements	\$ 25,174,446	\$ 7,213,254	\$ -17,961,192

Total Building and Capital Improvement Expenditures	\$ 31,745,018	\$ 11,458,500	\$ -20,286,518
Expenditures per Gross Square Foot	FY 2014	FY 2015	Change
Building Expenditures per Gross Square Foot	\$ 1.89	\$ 1.22	-\$ 0.67
Capital Expenditures per Gross Square Foot	\$ 7.26	\$ 2.08	-\$ 5.18
Total Expenditures per Gross Square Foot	\$ 9.15	\$ 3.30	-\$ 5.85

^{1.} Series 2015 is the first issue of bonds for the Buchanan II Residence Hall Project.

Notable expenses for building improvements during FY15 include the following: Willow Hall Furniture (\$1.1M), Frederiksen Court Life Cycle (\$500K), and Linden Elevator Controls (\$191K). Notable expenses for capital improvements during FY15 include the following: Friley Hall Sprinklers Phase 1B (\$1.18M), Furniture for Leased Apartments (\$1.73M), Willow Hall Improvements (\$1.33M), Larch Hall Renovations (\$1.15M), and University Village Roofs and Windows (\$566K).

^{3.} Frederiksen Court Expansion was completed during FY15.

APPENDIX A - EXPLANATION OF CHANGES TO DESIGN CAPACITY

Residence Halls	Room(s)	# of Spaces	
FLM	1067	-1	Convert quad to triple
ELIVI	3074	-1	Convert double to single
HELSER	1506 and 1508	4	Rooms brought back online
OAK	3003	1	Converted to triple
WILSON	10423	1	Double room previously incorrectly identified as a single
Total Residence Halls		4	
Apartments	Apt.(s)	# of Spaces	Reason
SCHILLETTER	7A, 7B, 7C, and 7D	4	Four Guest apartments converted to Family
Total Apartments		4	
Total Permanent Space	ces	8	
Interim Spaces	Room(s)	# of Spaces	Reason
MAPLE	110, 210, 310, 410, 510, 610, 710, and 810	16	Computer lab converted to den
Total Interim Spaces		16	
Leased Spaces	Room(s)	# of Spaces	Reason
LYNN	Building 140	35	New lease for FY16
MAYFIELD	Buildings 1406 and 1416	60	New lease for FY16
POINTE	Buildings 823, 825, and 826	96	New lease for FY16
RIDGE	Buildings 4625, 4701, and 4709	215	New lease for FY16
TRIPP	Building 3732	48	New lease for FY16
TWAIN	Building 4524	24	New lease for FY16
Total Leased Spaces		478	
Guest Housing	Room(s)	# of Spaces	Reason
SCHILLETTER	7A, 7B, 7C, and 7D	-4	Four Guest apartments converted to Family
Total Student Apts.		-4	

APPENDIX B - EXPLANATION OF OFF-LINE SPACE

FALL 2015 EXPLANA	ATION OF OFF-LINE SPACE		
Residence Halls	Room(s)	# of Spaces	
EATON	1145	2	Tour
	1158	1	Res. Life staff housing
FRILEY	2216	2	Tour
MARTIN	2127	1	Res. Life staff housing
WILSON	10423	2	Facilities - sprint room
Total Residence Ha	lls	8	
Apartments	Apt.(s)	# of Spaces	Reason
FREDERIKSEN	3135	4	Facilities - fire
	3312, 4112, 4212, 4318, 6118, 6218, 7212, and 7318	17	Private bedrooms for accommodations
	3311 and 4111	8	Res. Life staff housing
UNIVERSITY	104E	1	Student issue
	146C	2	Facilities - water damage
	172B	2	Res. Life staff housing
SCHILLETTER	59C	1	Facilities - carpeting
Total Apartments		35	
x Total Permanent Sp	paces	43	
Interim Spaces	Room(s)	# of Spaces	Reason
BIRCH	1281, 2295, 3295, and 4295	16	
HEICED	A277, A358, A371, B277, B293, B358, B371, C277,		Den spaces no longer used based on an agreement between student leaders and University administration due to the lack of alternate available community space.
HELSER	C293, C358, C371, D277, D293, D358, and D371	59	
ROBERTS	2215, 3219, and 4211	12	
WELCH	1240, 2245, 3245, and 4245	16	
Total Interim Space	S	103	
Leased Spaces	Room(s)	# of Spaces	Reason
LYNN	215	1	Facilities - custodial storage
LEGACY	503	1	Res. Life staff housing
MARICOPA	102	4	Res. Life staff housing
WALTON	106	2	Res. Life staff housing
POINTE	7	2	Res. Life staff housing
Total Leased Spaces	5	10	
Guest Housing	Room(s)	# of Spaces	Reason
N/A			
Total Student Apts.		0	
X			
Total DOR System		156	